(1) (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, CHHATTISGARH

Single Bench

CONTEMPT (PETITION) NO. 125 /2006

PETITIONER : Smt. Chandralata Rai,
Aged about 49 years,
W/o. Dr. P.K. Rai,
Project Director,
Integrated Child
Development Project
Katghora, District
Korba, Chhattisgarh

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. Jawahar Shrivastava
Secretary,
Government of Chhattisgarh
Department of Women &
Child Development,
DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh
Also holding office of
The Director,
Directorate of Women & Child
Development Department,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2. Shri Ravi Kumar Sharma,
Project Officer,
Integrated Child
Development Project,
Takhatpur, District
Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

3. Sanjay Garg
Collector, District Korba,
Chhattisgarh

4. L.R.Kachchhap
District Women & Child
Development Officer,
Korba, District Korba,
Chhattisgarh

P.R. No. 3875/06 Presented by Shri Dag Nath Singh Date 21/11/06

RECEIVED COPY 21/11/06 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

CONTEMPT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 215 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA READ WITH PROVISIONS OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT REGARDING CIVIL CONTEMPT AND ARISING OUT OF THE ORDER DATED 20/07/2006 PASSED BY THIS HON'BLE HIGH COURT IN W.P. NO.3579/2006

XI-HC-78

(2)

(28)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..Cont..Case..125/'06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	11-1-2007 **Shri Ranbir Singh Marhas, counsel for the petitioner.** **Heard.** **This contempt petition has been filed under Article 215 of the Constitution of India read with provisions of the Contempt of Courts Act for violation of this Court's order dated 20th July 2006, whereby respondent No.1 was directed to decide representation of the petitioner which was to be filed by the petitioner within a period of 30 days from 20th July 2006.** **Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that representation was submitted by the petitioner on 24th July 2006 (Annexure-C/2), even then while passing the order dated 10th November 2006, Amrita Beck has mentioned that no representation was made by the petitioner. Moreover, substitute Ravi Kumar has already joined. Learned counsel further submitted that Ravi Kumar never joined on the post of the petitioner and he joined on the post somewhere else.** **In the circumstances, respondent No.1 is directed to look into all the points raised by the petitioner and decide representation of the petitioner afresh, as also the fact that Ravi Kumar never joined on the post of the petitioner and pass appropriate order within a period of 6 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. The petitioner is also directed to submit all these papers including copy of this petition and his representation before the respondent No.1 within a period of one week from today.** **The petition is disposed of accordingly.** **Sd/-** **Acting Chief Justice**	

P.T.O.